GREENFIELD COMMUNITY PRESERVATION ACT PROJECT SCORING

This form is used by each CPC member during the evaluation of each application to assist in the application selection decision process.

Date	
Name	
Applicatio	on Number #2023
Section	1: Primary Qualifications
	ect Pre-Application Eligibility The following questions must be answered "Yes" to evaluate the proposals eligibility for final
	1. Project summary was submitted using the "Pre-Application Form"
	2. Pre-Application Form was received by deadline date.
	3. Project fits CPA Allowable Spending Chart criteria
CPA Proj	ect Application Criteria (check if completed)
	1. Project Application (Application) was submitted using the "CPA Project Application Form"
	2. Application was received by the deadline date.
	3. Project fits CPA Allowable Spending Chart criteria (see Figure 7 CPA Allowable Spending Chart)
	 4. If a Historic Preservation project, has written approval from Greenfield Historical Commission. * * As per MA General Law Chapter 44B, proposed historic projects that are not on the structures listed on the state's registry of historic places require a determination by the Greenfield Historic Commission that the proposed project is of historic significance.
	5. The applicant has control or the written consent of the property owner to submit an application.
	6. A detailed management plan includes schedule, key milestones, project manager, list of consultants.

Section 2: Project Scoring

Each major criterion will be rated on a scale of 1-5 (1=lowest and 5=highest)

Function:	
	1. Meets goals and objectives laid out in current Master Plan and Open Space and Recreation Plan:
	1 = Project not included in a City plan and has many questions to be answered.3 = Project not included in a City plan, but has some creative solutions to a community problem that is mentioned in a City plan, but is not a priority
	5 = Project explicitly called for in a City plan as a priority.
	2. Multiple funding sources:1 = No non-Greenfield CPA funding or any alternative funding or in kind contributions
	3 = 25% non-Greenfield CPA funding, alternative funding or in kind contributions 5 = 50 % or more non-Greenfield CPA funding, alternative funding or in kind contributions.
<u>Value</u> :	
	 Overall application quality and urgency of project: = no rush- can do it at any time, not a high priority in Greenfield plans = the sooner the better, a recognized need
	5 = Once-in a generation opportunity to meet a recognized need in a significant or innovative way.
	2. Community support:1 = none
	3 = only individuals or organizations directly related to the project 5 = Broad and strong community support as demonstrated by support letters or funding.
	3. Thoroughness of planning:1 = complicated and with many issues still to be resolved
	3 = is possible, but needs some work to show how work is going to be done 5 = ready to go
	4. Benefit to the community:1= a small project benefiting primarily a particular part or population of the
	community 3 = small-moderate project that has limited benefits, but for a significantly underserved population or area

5=project of any size that will be of benefit to the entire community

 5. Level of risk:
1= Has not yet explored solutions to issues related to potential risks, e.g., geology, legal situation, susceptible to scope creep or environmental issues or the like
3 = appears to have average risk, possibly needs more documentation
5 = Research Report, engineering study, or other paperwork project available demonstrating project feasibility.
 6. Enhancement of health, increases access to nature, improves work or educational environment of community:
1 = is a wonderful addition but does not enhance any of these subject areas 3 = adds to these subject areas of the immediate geographical community or a section
of the overall population 5 = would greatly enhance these subject areas for a significant segment of the city population
7. Who will benefit, how and why:
 1 = adjacent to or serving low-density, high income population close to similar amenities
3 = adjacent to or serving middle income population with easy access to similar amenities
5 = adjacent to or serving lower income population where access to similar amenities is more difficult.
 8. Innovation and creativity:
1 = Good idea that has been considered previously but has not been a priority3 = Previously considered and supported response to concern but has not been approved
5 = Innovative response to a concern, could enhance community in new ways
 9. Applicant's active participation in application process:
1 missed appointments, unresponsive to Liaison or CPC concerns 3 = attended at least one or more meetings, and / or responded to expressed concerns and suggestions from the CPA
5 = proactive, communicative, quick response to issues, effective

Total Score = Function + Value = _____